THE ROLE AND IMPORTANCE OF STRATEGIC INNOVATION MANAGEMENT IN THE DEFENSE SYSTEM

Sasha Smileski[1]
Military academy „General Mihailo Apostolski" – Skopje

Nenad Taneski[2]
Military academy „General Mihailo Apostolski" – Skopje

Julijana Smileska[3]
Ministry of Defense, Republic of North Macedonia

Abstract Strategic innovation management (SIM) is a systematic approach to managing innovation in organizations. In the defense sector, strategic innovation management can provide numerous benefits, such as increased efficiency, improved capability, and enhanced competitiveness. By using strategic innovation management, defense organizations can align their innovation efforts with their strategic objectives, prioritize resources, and ensure that new technologies and processes are effectively integrated into existing systems. Additionally, strategic innovation management can help organizations foster a culture of innovation, encourage collaboration, and mitigate risks associated with innovation. To maximize the benefits of strategic innovation management in the defense sector, it is essential that organizations establish clear processes and guidelines, regularly assess their innovation performance, and continuously evaluate and adjust their strategic innovation management strategies to meet evolving demands. This abstract will explore the key elements of conceptual frameworks for strategic innovation management, including their relevance to different industries and the challenges of implementing them successfully. Additionally, it will highlight some of the current trends and future directions in this field, such as the integration of the concept for Planning, Programming, Budgeting and Implementation in the Ministry of defense. Ultimately, the insight gained from these frameworks can help organization enhance their capacity for innovation, stay ahead of the competition, and achieve long-term success.

Key words: Strategic, defense, innovation, frameworks, competitiveness.

Introduction

The defense system refers to the collection of organizations, processes, and technologies that are put in place to protect a country or region from external threats and attacks. This system is typically composed of a range of different components, including:

[1] Contact address: sasa.smileski@ugd.edu.mk

[2] Contact address: nenad.taneski@ugd.edu.mk

[3] Contact address: julijana.apostoloska@live.com

1. **Military:** This includes the armed forces, such as the army, navy, air force and marine corps, as well as paramilitary organizations, such as the National Guard.
2. **Intelligence agencies:** These organizations are responsible for collecting, analyzing, and disseminating information about potential threats to national security.
3. **Law enforcement:** This includes police forces, such as local and state police, as well as federal agencies.
4. **Emergency management:** This includes organizations and systems that are responsible for responding to natural disasters and other emergencies.
5. **Cybersecurity:** This refers to the protection of computer systems, networks, and data from unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification, or destruction.
6. **Diplomacy:** This refers to the use of negotiations, alliances, and other diplomatic means to maintain peace and stability and to resolve conflicts with other countries and regions.

The defense system is an essential aspect of national security, as it helps to ensure the safety and security of a country and its citizens. It is therefore critical for countries to have a well-designed and well-resourced defense system in place to respond to the challenges and threats that they face.

Innovation is a critical aspect of the defense system, as it helps organizations to stay ahead of the curve in terms of technology and capabilities. Strategic innovation management is a systematic approach to managing innovation, aimed at ensuring that the organization's resources are aligned with its long-term goals and objectives. In the defense sector, this is especially important given the rapidly changing technological landscape and the need to respond to emerging threats and challenges.

Innovation management refers to the systematic approach to creating, developing, and implementing new ideas and technologies in an organization. It involves identifying areas where innovation can bring value, defining processes to support innovation, and implementing a culture that fosters creativity and encourages employees to innovate.[4]

Innovation is critical to the success of defense organizations, as it helps to enhance the capabilities of military forces and improve the efficiency of their operations. It also helps to maintain a competitive advantage in the global arena and ensure national security. In a rapidly changing world, innovation is crucial for defense organizations to stay ahead of emerging threats and address changing security needs.

Strategic innovation management involves the integration of innovation into an organization's strategic planning process. This includes the identification of areas for innovation, the development of processes to support innovation, and the implementation of a culture that fosters innovation. In defense systems, strategic innovation management is important to ensure that innovation is aligned with the organization's mission and goals, and that the resources invested in innovation are used effectively.

[4] Jamie A. Costas M. Strategic Innovation at the Base of the Pyramid, MITSloan Management Review. 2007.

The innovation process in defense systems involves several stages, including ideation, concept development, prototyping, testing, and implementation. In each stage, it is important to involve the relevant stakeholders and ensure that the process is aligned with the organization's strategic goals.

There are several challenges in implementing innovation management in defense systems, including a lack of resources, a culture that does not support innovation, and bureaucratic processes that slow down the innovation process. Additionally, the highly classified nature of defense organizations can make it difficult to share information and collaborate with external partners.

The modernization of the defense sector is another central challenge governments in the Euro-Atlantic community have been facing for the least a decade. Some nations are concentrating on transforming their armed forces to better respond to the new security challenges of the 21st Century, while others are undertaking more ambitious overhauls of the entire domain of defense under the goal of building new defense institutions, especially the nations that recently transitioned from communist to democratic regimes or that are still in the final phases of this transition. All these states have strategic expectations from security and defense sector reforms, rightfully considering the success of these reforms as facilitating their integration in the Euro-Atlantic community and enhancing their people's security and prosperity.

Strategic Innovation Management: A Key to Organizational Success

In today's fast-paced and highly competitive business environment, organizations need to continuously innovate in order to stay ahead of the competition. Strategic innovation management is a systematic approach to creating, developing, and implementing new ideas and technologies in an organization. It involves aligning innovation with an organization's overall strategy and objectives, and ensuring that the resources invested in innovation are used effectively.

Innovation is a key driver of organizational success and can help organizations to gain a competitive advantage, improve operational efficiency, and grow their business. However, without a strategic approach to innovation management, organizations can waste valuable resources and miss opportunities to capitalize on their innovations.[5]

Strategic Innovation Management Process: The strategic innovation management process involves several key steps, including:[6]

1. **Identifying areas for innovation:** Organizations need to identify areas where innovation can bring value and support the organization's goals and objectives.
2. **Defining processes to support innovation:** Organizations need to establish processes and systems that support innovation, such as research and development, idea management, and collaboration.

[5] Loveridge, Ray and Maryn Pitt. The Strategic Management of Technological Innovation. John Wiley & Sons, 1992

[6] Davila, Tony, Marc J. Epstein and Robert Shelton. Making Innovation Work – How to Manage it, Measure it, and Profit from it. Wharton School Publishing, 2006.

3. **Building a culture of innovation:** Organizations need to create a culture that fosters creativity and encourages employees to innovate. This can involve providing training, recognition, and support for innovation initiatives.
4. **Aligning innovation with strategy:** Organizations need to ensure that innovation initiatives are aligned with the organization's overall strategy and objectives.
5. **Measuring and evaluating success:** Organizations need to measure and evaluate the success of their innovation initiatives, in order to make informed decisions about future investments in innovation.

The Role of Strategic Innovation Management

Strategic innovation management plays a vital role in the defense system by providing a framework for the development and implementation of new ideas, technologies, and processes. It helps organizations to stay ahead of the curve and to be better prepared to respond to emerging challenges and threats. This involves a range of activities, including the identification of new and emerging technologies, the development of new concepts and ideas and the implementation of these ideas through the development of new products and services.

One of the key benefits of strategic innovation management is that it allows organizations to be proactive rather than reactive. This means that the can take a strategic approach to innovation, identifying the opportunities and challenges that they face and developing plans to address these issues. This proactive approach allows organizations to be better prepared to respond to emerging threats and challenges, and to stay ahead of the curve in terms of technology and capabilities.[7]

As an institutional process, the management of defense is situated between defense policy formulation and actual command and control of the military forces. It should address areas of action such as defense resource management, personnel management, acquisition management, where – during defense policy implementation – it is likely that inherent uncertainties require higher flexibility and subsequent decisions, and unexpected problems might occur, requiring proper identification and appropriate solutions.

Over time and in different nations, managerial systems were introduced and tested for their relevance in terms of planning, efficiency and accountability. Developed specifically for the public sector or borrowed from the business practices, systems, such as Planning, Programming, Budgeting System (PPBS), Performance Management System (PMS) or Total Quality System (TQS) have a history of successes and failures that deserve a critical treatment in a book on defense management. The main observation is that no theoretical approach to defense management in general provides for a specific management system or management philosophy. What is essential for a nation, that has identified a genuine need for improving the performance of its defense sector, is to understand that introducing a managerial culture in the sector is even more important than the managerial tools that nation chooses to implement.

[7] Sherman, H. Rowey. D. J. & Armandi, B. R. Strategic Management: An Organization Change Approach. Lanham: University Press of America. 2006.

A conceptual framework for strategic innovation management

A conceptual framework for strategic innovation management is a set of concepts, definitions, and relationships that help organizations understand and guide their innovation efforts. It provides a structure for identifying, analyzing, and prioritizing opportunities for innovation, as well as for developing and implementing strategies to capitalize on these opportunities. The framework typically includes components such as:[8]

Innovation strategy: A plan that outlines an organization's approach to innovation, including its goals, objectives, and priorities.

Innovation portfolio: A collection of innovation initiatives that are aligned with the organization's overall strategy.

Innovation culture: The beliefs, values, and behaviors that promote a supportive and creative environment for innovation.

Innovation processes: A set of procedures and practices that guide the ideation, development, and commercialization of new products, services, and business models.

Innovation metrics: A set of measures used to track and evaluate the performance of the innovation portfolio and to support decision-making.

The framework helps organizations to understand how different elements of innovation management fit together, and how they can be optimized to support innovation. It provides a foundation for continuous improvement and can be adapted as the organization evolves and the innovation landscape changes.

One of the concepts that can be used in the defense systems is the concept for Planning, Programming, Budgeting, and Executions, that if implemented effectively provide best results.

The Planning, Programming, Budgeting, and Execution (PPBE) process is a key component of the defense system's budget and resource allocation process. The PPBE process involves several stages, including:[9]

Planning: This stage involves setting strategic goals and objectives, identifying required resources, and developing a plan for how to allocate those resources.

Programming: This stage involves determining the specific programs and initiatives that will be supported by the budget, and estimating the cost of those programs.

Budgeting: This stage involves preparing and submitting the budget request, which includes funding requests for each program and initiative.

Execution: This stage involves implementing the budget and monitoring performance to ensure that the programs and initiatives are delivering the desired results.

The PPBE process is critical for the defense system, as it provides a systematic approach to allocating resources and ensuring that programs and initiatives are aligned with strategic goals and objectives. By providing a comprehensive view of the budget and resource allocation process, the PPBE process helps to ensure that the defense system has the resources it needs to meet its mission and respond to changing priorities.

[8] Jamie A. Costas M. Strategic Innovation at the Base of the Pyramid, MITSloan Management Review. 2007.

[9] Grimes, Steven R. Carlisle."PPBS to PPBE: A Process or Principles?". PA: U.S. Army War College. March, 2008.

However, the PPBE process can also be complex and time-consuming, and it may be challenging to balance the need for long-term planning and budgeting with the need for flexibility in response to changing threats and priorities. To be effective, the PPBE process must be supported by strong leadership, clear guidance, and robust processes and systems.

The Importance of Strategic Innovation Management

Strategic innovation management is important for a number of reasons, including:

1. **Increased competitiveness:** Strategic innovation management helps organizations to stay ahead of the curve in terms of technology and capabilities. This allows them to be more competitive in their respective markets and to better meet the needs of their customers.
2. **Improved efficiency:** Strategic innovation management helps organizations to streamline their processes and to be more efficient in their operations. This is particularly important in the defense sector, where there is a need to respond quickly and effectively to emerging threats and challenges.
3. **Better risk management:** Strategic innovation management helps organizations to better manage risk by identifying potential risk early on and developing plans to address these issues. This helps organizations to be better prepared to respond to emerging threats and challenges and to minimize the impact of these risks.
4. **Enhanced collaboration:** Strategic innovation management can also help organizations to collaborate more effectively with other stakeholders, including suppliers, partners, and customers. This can lead to improved relationships and better outcomes for all parties involved.

Best practices for implementing SIM in the defense system

Strategic innovation management (SIM) is the process of managing and coordinating the creation, development, and implementation of innovative ideas and technologies in the defense system. Here are some best practices for implementing SIM in the defense system:[10]

1. **Encourage a culture of innovation:** Encourage a culture of creativity, experimentation, and risk-taking within the defense system to foster a supportive environment for innovation.
2. **Define innovation objectives:** Clearly define the goals and objectives of innovation initiatives to ensure that resources are directed towards initiatives that are aligned with the defense system's mission and priorities.

[10] Loveridge, Ray and Maryn Pitt. The Strategic Management of Technological Innovation. John Wiley & Sons, 1992

3. **Foster cross-functional collaboration:** Encourage collaboration across different departments and functions within the defense system to ensure that innovations are integrated into existing systems and processes.
4. **Foster partnerships with external organizations:** Partner with external organizations, such as academia, industry, and other government agencies, to bring in fresh perspectives, expertise, and resources to support innovation initiatives.
5. **Invest in research and development:** Allocate sufficient resources to research and development initiatives to ensure that the defense system has access to the latest technologies and capabilities.
6. **Implement a system for idea generation and management:** Establish a system for capturing and managing ideas and suggestions from employees, stakeholders, and partners to ensure that innovations are identified, evaluated and implemented in a systematic manner.
7. **Measure and evaluate results:** Regularly measure and evaluate the results of innovation initiatives to ensure that resources are being directed toward initiatives that are delivering value to the defense system.
8. **Continuously improve processes:** Continuously review and improve the processes and systems used to manage innovation in the defense system to ensure that they are effective, efficient, and aligned with changing needs and requirements.

By implementing these best practices, the defense system can effectively manage and coordinate the creation, development, and implementation of innovative ideas and technologies, ensuring that it has the capabilities and resources it needs to address the challenges and threats it faces.

Case studies

Here are some case studies that demonstrate the importance of strategic innovation management in the defense system:

1. The U.S. Department of Defense (DoD): The U.S DoD has implemented a comprehensive SIM program that focuses on identifying and implementing innovative technologies, capabilities, and processes to enhance the effectiveness of its operations. The program includes initiatives such as the Defense Innovation Unit, which partners with startups and private sector companies to bring cutting-edge technologies to the DoD, and the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DAPRA), which invests in research and development to advance the state of the art in defense technologies.
2. The United Kingdom's Ministry of Defense (MOD): The UK MOD has implemented a series of initiatives to encourage innovation and collaboration within its defense system. This includes the Defense Innovation Hub, which supports the development of innovative technologies and capabilities, and the Defense and Security

Accelerator, which invests in research and development initiatives to enhance the UK's Defense capabilities.

3. The German Bundeswehr: The German Bundeswehr has implemented a SIM program that focuses on encouraging collaboration and cross-funcitonal cooperation to drive innovation within the defense system. This includes initiatives such as the Bundeswehr Innovation Center, which provides a platform for the exchange of ideas and best practices between different parts of the defense system, and the Innovation Competition, which awards funding to the most promising innovation initiatives.

Additional case studies:

1. DARPA (Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency): DARPA has been at the forefront of innovation in defense for many years, developing new technologies and concepts that have been adopted by military forces around the world. One of its most notable successes is the development of the Internet, which was initially funded by the agency in the 1970s.
2. The U.S. Army's Rapid Equipping Force (REF): The REF is a unit within the U.S. Army that is responsible for quickly developing and deploying new technologies and capabilities to support military operations. The REF has been successful in developing and deploying innovative solutions in a short timeframe, including unmanned aerial vehicles and communication technologies.
3. The Royal Australian Navy's Innovation Unit: The Royal Australian Navy has established an Innovation Unit to drive innovation across the organization. The unit works closely with external partners, including academia and industry, to develop new technologies and processes that improve the efficiency of naval operations.

Strategic innovation management is crucial to the success of defense organizations, as it helps to enhance the capabilities of military forces and improve the efficiency of their operations. Best practices for strategic innovation management in defense systems include aligning innovation with strategy, fostering a culture of innovation, encouraging collaboration with external partners, utilizing technology, and continuously evaluating and adjusting. Case studies such as DARPA, the U.S. Army's Rapid Equipping Force, and the Royal Australian Navy's Innovation Unit provide examples of organizations that have successfully implemented strategic innovation management to drive innovation and improve their overall effectiveness.

Conclusion

Innovation management is crucial for defense organizations to maintain a competitive advantage and ensure national security. Strategic innovation management helps to align innovation with the organization's goals and ensure that resources are used effectively. The innovation process in defense systems involves several stages and requires the involvement of relevant stakeholders. Despite the challenges, implementing innovation management in defense systems is essential for success in today's rapidly changing world.

Globalization and technology are making it imperative that militaries change their organizational structures, their concepts of operation and their equipment inventories, all with a view to achieving the agility and responsiveness needed to deal with a world of uncertain threats. As manpower is the medium through which all of these changes have to be achieved, it is essential that manpower management becomes equally agile and responsive.

It is important to gain the backing of the political and military leadership, as well as of all personnel. A fully transparent functioning of the group and flow of information may contribute to getting such support.

In the implementation of the principles of programme-based force development and defense resource management both new NATO members and partner countries face a number of similar problems. A few key issues are:

- Lack of related defense planning experience, particularly in business process management, design of defense programmes, costing of programmes, assessment of cost effectiveness and analysis of alternatives in general, assessment of planning risks and incorporation of risk management methodologies in the defense planning process.
- Lack of a formal operational planning process that produces objective metrics that clearly identifies capability gaps in the existing force structure when measured against established operational objectives.
- Organizational resistance, often drawing on a culture of secrecy, particularly within the military establishment, but also among the budget planning and financial management community.
- One very specific issue us the use of the term programme. If a defense establishment intends to introduce programme-based force development and defense resource management, it should use the term sparingly.

References

1. Coulter, R. S. Management, 9th edition. New Yersay: Pearson Prentice Hall.2007.
2. David, F. R. Strategic Management, New York: Macmilan Publishing Company. 1991.
3. David, F. R. Strategic Management: Concepts and Cases. Prentice Hall, New Jersey. 2011.
4. Davila, Tony, Marc J. Epstein and Robert Shelton. Making Innovation Work – How to Manage it, Measure it, and Profit from it. Wharton School Publishing, 2006.
5. Department of National Defense, O. Capability Based planning for the Department of National Defense and the Canadian Forces. 2002.
6. DonVito, P. The Essentials of a Planning-Programing-Budgeting System. The RAND Corporation, P-4124. July 1969.

7. McGee, J., Thomas, H. and Wilson, D. Strategy: Analysis and Practice, McGraw-Hill, Maidenhead. 2010.

8. Monaghan, Gordon. PfP Planning and Review Process, (Force Planning Directorate Defence Policy and Planning Division NATO International Staff). 2010.

9. Galbraith, J., Downey, D., & Kates, A. Designing Dynamic Organizations: A hands-on Guide for Leaders at All Levels. New York: Amacom. 2002.

10. Gallis, Paul., NATO's Decision-Making Procedure, CRS Report for Congress, Updated May 5, 2003.

11. Gomez-Mejia, L., Balkin, D., & Cardy, R. Management: people, performance, change. Irwin: McGraw Hill. 2005.

12. Griffin, R. W. Fundamentals of Management (4th ed.). Boston: Houghton Miffin. 2006.

13. Grimes, Steven R. Carlisle."PPBS to PPBE: A Process or Principles?". PA: U.S. Army War College. March, 2008.

14. Harward business review. Five competitive forces that shape strategy. Harvard. 2008.

15. Handy, Charles B. Understanding Organizations, Oxford University Press, USA. 1993.

16. Hayes J. The Theory and Practice of Change Management. New York: Palgrave. 2002.

17. H. Igor, A., & McDonnell, E. Implanting Strategic Management. Prentice Hall. 1990.

18. Higgins, J. M., & Vincze, J.W. Strategic Management: Text and Cases. Harcourt Brace Jovanovich. 1993.

19. Hitch, Charles J. Berkeley and Los Angeles. Decision-making for Defense. University of California Press. 1965.

20. Hubert, C. Knowledge management: A Guide for Your Journey to Best-Practice Processes, Vienna. 2000.

21. Jamie A. Costas M. Strategic Innovation at the Base of the Pyramid, MITSloan Management Review. 2007.

22. Johnson, G., & Scholes, K. Exploring Corporate Strategy,. Prentice Hall. 1988.

23. .Kotter John P. Leading Change. Harvard Business School Press,USA. 1996.

24. Lumpkin, D.G., & Eisner, G. Strategic Management. McGraw-Hill, Irwin. 2007.

25. Loveridge, Ray and Maryn Pitt. The Strategic Management of Technological Innovation. John Wiley & Sons, 1992

26. Melton, T. Real Project Planning: Developing a Project Delivery Strategy. Butterworth-Heinemann. 2011.

27. Murray, Russell, and Les Aspin.Searching for a Defense Strategy Washington, D.C: House of Representatives. 1987.

28. National Aeronautics and Space Administration, O.o (2006, July). Planning, Programming, Budgeting and Execution. Financial Management Requirements, Volume 4.

29. NATO Defence Planning Process, June 2018, (https://www.nato.int/cps/en/natohq/topics_49202.htm).

30. NATO Handbook, Brussels, 2006. https://www.nato.int/docu/handbook/2006/hb-en-2006.pdf.

31. Parthasarthy, R. Fundamentals of Strategic Management. Houghton Mifflin Complany. 2007.

32. Rolheiser, F.T. Strategic Management: Concepts and Cases, McGraw-Hill/Irwin. 2012.

33. RTO/NATO. Handbook on Long Term Defence Planning. RTO-TR-069. 2003.

34. Rudd, J. M. et. Al. Strategic Planning and Performance: Extending the Debate. Journal of Business Reseatch, 61,99-108. 2008.

35. Samuel, C.C., & J. Paul, P. Strategic Management: Concepts and Applications. McGraw-Hill. 1991.

36. Stock, J. R. Strategic Logistics Management, 4th Ed. New York, NY: McGraw Hill/Irwin. 2001.

37. Stoner & Freeman. Management (Fourth Edition), Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hill. 1989.

38. Sullivan, Raymond E. Resource Allocation: The Formal Process. 8th edition. Newport: U.S. Naval War College. July 2002.